Now & Next

Labor & Employment Alert

December 23, 2024

NLRB challenges *Love Is Blind* over contestant rights

By **David Prager, Adriana Levandowski,** and **April Hua**

The NLRB's complaint against the *Love Is Blind* producer could transform reality TV and impact contestant rights and labor practices across industries.

What's the impact?

- This landmark case could redefine reality TV production by setting a precedent for classifying contestants as employees. This shift may lead to increased production costs and significant changes in how shows are produced.
- Contestants could gain critical employee benefits such as minimum wage, overtime pay, and unemployment insurance, significantly improving their working conditions and financial security.
- The outcome of this case could influence labor practices across other gig-based industries, prompting a re-evaluation of worker classifications and potentially inspiring similar legal challenges.

On December 11, 2024, the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) issued a formal complaint against Kinetic Content, the producer of the hit Netflix series *Love Is Blind*. The complaint alleges misclassification of contestants as independent contractors rather than employees, potentially violating federal labor laws. This unprecedented action could significantly impact the entertainment industry and reality TV.

The complaint in context: Understanding the NLRB's allegations

Love Is Blind, known for its premise of contestants dating and getting engaged without seeing each other, is now under scrutiny. In 2023, two former contestants complained to the NLRB that the show exercised significant control over their lives, including rigorous schedules and behavior restrictions, extending beyond filming. According to the recent NLRB complaint, these conditions align more with employee status than independent contractors.

Financially, contestants reportedly received a $1,000 daily stipend during filming, which the NLRB argues should be classified as wages, entitling them to benefits like overtime pay and unemployment insurance. Additional complaints include mental health neglect, lack of transparency about contractual obligations, and coercion to remain on the show despite personal discomfort.

A former contestant recently settled a $1.4 million class-action lawsuit against Netflix and Kinetic Content, alleging unpaid wages and labor law violations. This settlement highlights the growing calls for accountability in reality TV and could influence the NLRB's complaint.

This scrutiny is part of a broader examination of labor practices in entertainment and gig-based industries, with increasing complaints about unfair treatment and exploitative practices.

Redefining the employer-employee relationship

The distinction between employees and independent contractors is significant in terms of legal rights and benefits. Employees are entitled to a range of protections under labor laws, including minimum wage, overtime pay, unemployment insurance, and workers' compensation. They also benefit from workplace safety regulations and may receive additional perks such as health insurance, retirement plans, and paid leave. In contrast, independent contractors typically do not receive these benefits and protections. They are considered self-employed and are responsible for their own taxes, insurance, and retirement savings. Independent contractors have more flexibility in how they perform their work but lack the job security and benefits that come with employee status.

Increased costs for production companies

Reality television's low-cost production model has been a key factor in its popularity with networks and streaming platforms. A shift in classification could significantly increase costs for producers, potentially leading to fewer shows or changes in casting practices. Beyond wages and benefits, companies may also face added legal and compliance costs to ensure that working conditions meet employee standards. Smaller production companies, in particular, could struggle to adapt to these changes, possibly leading to consolidation in the industry.

The classification of contestants as employees could overhaul reality TV production models. This would include extending legal protections typically reserved for employees, increasing greater oversight, and documentation of working conditions, adding to the administrative burdens on production companies.

Reality TV contestants—The next guild?

Unscripted productions, like reality TV shows, have traditionally relied on a degree of flexibility and spontaneity that employee classifications might constrain. Employee protections could limit the hours contestants are allowed to work, reduce the intensity of filming schedules, and increase the need for structured breaks and other accommodations.

This case could also pave the way for unionization among unscripted TV contestants, similar to organizations like SAG-AFTRA, Directors Guild of America (DGA) and the Writers Guild of America (WGA), which represent actors, directors, and writers in scripted productions.

Moreover, reclassification as employees could open the door for contestants to negotiate for additional benefits, including royalties, healthcare, and overtime pay. Employees generally have more leverage and legal protections when it comes to negotiating the terms of their employment, which could include profit-sharing or royalty agreements. If contestants form or join a union, they could collectively bargain for employment terms. This would necessitate changes to the contracts contestants sign before participating in the show.

While these changes would likely improve conditions for participants, they may also alter the creative and operational dynamics that define the genre. The financial implications for producers could be significant.

Broader implications for the gig economy

The *Love Is Blind* complaint isn't happening in isolation. It aligns with national discussions about the rights of gig workers and other non-traditional labor roles. An NLRB victory could inspire similar challenges across other industries where misclassification concerns are prevalent.

This case also comes on the heels of last year's historic SAG-AFTRA and WGA strikes, during which unscripted television production and releases surged. Reality TV served as a workaround for networks and platforms when scripted content was delayed, highlighting the genre's growing importance in the entertainment landscape.

The road ahead: What this means for reality TV and beyond

Kinetic Content denied any wrongdoing and has vowed to challenge the NLRB's complaint. The case will now proceed to a hearing before an administrative law judge. If the judge rules in favor of the NLRB, Kinetic could face penalties and be required to reclassify contestants. The ruling could also set a precedent for other reality TV programs.

Producers, streaming platforms, and other stakeholders in the entertainment industry should monitor this case closely, as producers may need to consider adjustments to production timelines, budgets, and participant agreements to align with potential new employee classification requirements.

As this case unfolds, it will serve as a barometer for how entertainment and other gig-reliant sectors navigate the evolving landscape of labor protections and employee classifications.

For more information on the content of this alert, please contact your Nixon Peabody attorney or:

David M. Prager
213.629.6167
dprager@nixonpeabody.com

Adriana Levandowski
213.629.6015
alevandowski@nixonpeabody.com

April Hua
213.629.6173
ahua@nixonpeabody.com